

# BEFORE TEE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

#### OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In	the	Hatter	of	the	Appeal	of	)
RIC	HARD	FRANDS	EN				)

### Appearances:

For Appellant: Richard Frandsen,

in pro. per.

For Respondent: Kendall E. Kinyon

Carl G. Knopke

Counsel

# OPINION

This appeal is made pursuant to section 18593 of the Revenue and Taxation Code from the action of the Franchise Tax **Board** on the protest of Richard Frandsen against a proposed assessment of personal income tax and penalties in the total amount of \$2,131.75 for the year 1979.

#### Appeal. of Richard Frandsen

The sole issue presented by this appeal is whether appellant has established error in respondent's proposed assessment of personal income tax or in the penalties assessed for the year in issue.

Respondent received information indicating that appellant was required to file a California income tax return for 1979. Respondent so advised appellant, and demanded that he file the required return; appellant did not respond. Thereafter, respondent issued a notice of proposed assessment based upon information received from the California Employment Development Department. The proposed assessment also included penalties for failure to file a return, failure to file upon notice and demand, failure to pay estimated income tax, and negligence. After due consideration of appellant's protest, respondent affirmed the proposed assessment, thereby resulting in this; appeal.

It is well settled that respondent's **determinations** of tax are presumptively correct, and appellant bears the burden of proving thee **erroreous.** (Appeal of K. L. Durham, Cal. St. 3d. of Equal., March 4, 1980; &peal of Harold G. Jindrich, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., April 6, 1977.) This rule also applies to the penalties assessed in this case. (Appeal of K. L. Durham, supra; Appeal of Myron E. and Alice Z. Gire, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., Sept. 10, 1969.) No such proof has been presented here.

On the basis of the evidence before us, we can only conclude that respondent correctly computed appellant's tax <code>liability</code>, and that the imposition of penalties was fully justified. Respondent's action in this matter will, therefore, be sustained.

## Appeal of Richard Frandsen

## ORDER

Pursuant to the views expressed in the opinion of the board on file in this. proceeding,, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to section 18595 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, that the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of Richard Frandsen against a proposed assessment of personal income tax and penalties in the total amount of \$2,131.75 for the year 1979, be and the same is hereby sustained.

Done at Sacramento, California this 1stday of February , 1983, by the State Board of Equalization, with Board Members Mr. Bennett, Mr. Collis, Mr. Dronenburg and Mr. Nevins present.

William M. Bennett	, Chairman
Conway H. Collis	, Member
Ernest J. Dronenburg, Jr.	 , Member
Richard Nevins	 , Member
	 , Member